Patient Feedback: Wessex Peer Review of Foot Care Services and Pathway for People with Diabetes

Author:

Anne Oates, Quality Improvement Lead, Wessex Clinical Network

Mob: 07880 00659

Email: anne.oates1@nhs.net

Address:

Wessex Clinical Network

Oakley Road Southampton SO16 4GX

Article points and keywords

The Wessex region has generally higher rates of major and minor lower extremity amputation rates for people living with diabetes.

Wessex Clinical Network has prioritised reducing amputations and improving the foot care pathway.

In order to gain a greater understanding of the causes of variation the Wessex Clinical Network has supported comprehensive peer reviews of foot care pathways and services available.

The aim of this process is to improve services across the region through sharing and learning.

This article describes patient's individual views of their experience across the pathway, highlighting common themes.

Keywords

Diabetes, Patient, Feedback, Peer, Review

Introduction

The focus of this article is the patient feedback element prepared for all reviews across Wessex. The aim is to describe patient's individual views of their experience across the pathway. Common themes emerged from the interviews and these will also be highlighted.

Patient feedback gathered through discovery interviews formed the opening section of all peer reviews across Wessex Trusts giving an emphasis on lived experience of care.

Main Body

Background

Public Health England annual reports show major and minor lower extremity amputation rates in people living with diabetes. The Wessex region generally has higher rates than the national average.

There is a registered population of over 133,000 people with diabetes across the two Sustainability & Transformation Partnerships (STP's) in Wessex, it is estimated that any one time 3000 of these will have an active foot ulcer, the most common indicator of amputation. During the three years 2013-2016 there were 1367 amputations 354 were major amputations (above the ankle) across our STP's. Foot disease associated with diabetes generated over 5000 hospital spells during that period with an average stay of 17 nights.

The total annual cost of managing foot ulcers in the community and acute foot disease in hospital locally for the year 2017-18 is estimated to be in excess of £50,000,000 and just a 10% improvement in efficiency would save £5,000,000.

Total Hampshire population is approximately 1.3 million, Dorset population is 770,000.

The Wessex Diabetes Forum is a subgroup of the Wessex Cardiovascular (CVD) Clinical Network and has prioritised reducing amputations and improving the foot care pathway. In order to gain a greater understanding of the causes of variation, the Wessex CVD Clinical Network is supporting comprehensive peer reviews of foot care pathways and services available in all Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) areas.

The aim is that this process in each CCG area will, through sharing and learning, improve services across the region. The peer review process also has the support of Diabetes UK and NHS England.

Aims

The focus of this article is the patient feedback element prepared for all reviews across Wessex. The aim is to describe patient's individual views of their experience across the pathway. Common themes emerged from the interviews and these will also be highlighted.

Patient feedback gathered through discovery interviews formed the opening section of all peer reviews across Wessex Trusts giving an emphasis on lived experience of care.

Limitations

This report is not statistically significant as due to limited time and interviewer resource 15 patients in total were interviewed. Patient experience however is valid across the spectrum of experience and there is no 'right or wrong'; it is all of value in service improvement.

The reviews will assess the quality and accessibility of foot care for people with diabetes in comparison with national and local standards.

The successes and areas for improvement in each CCG area will be based on NICE guidelines NG19 and National Diabetes Audit outcomes, including the National Diabetes Foot Care Audit.

Planning the interviews

In Autumn 2018 a peer review of foot care services was conducted across the area of Hampshire, Isle of Wight and Dorset. Diabetic patients from both type 1 and type 2 with ongoing foot ulceration, healing ulceration and/or foot and lower limb amputation were interviewed using a discovery interview process and the findings fed back as general themes. This was prioritised as the opening element of the review by the clinical lead.

The majority of patients chose to be interviewed in their own home due to mobility issues related to foot disease. A smaller number chose telephone interview. The full breakdown of patient interviews across the region is shown in **Figure 1**.



Methodology

Each Trust was asked to provide between two and four patients following amputation and/or ulceration of the foot for interview. Patients were initially contacted by the clinician to ask permission to be contacted by Wessex Clinical Network (CVD). Patients were then called and the purpose and details of the interview discussed. Seventeen patients were contacted; all accepted however two withdrew - one due to illness, the other did not give a reason.

Consent was explained to the patient at face-to-face interview or on the telephone. Signed consent was obtained prior to interview.

Qualitative interviews were used, using open questions covering key areas of the diabetes foot care pathway as prompts for discussion.

Patient Feedback

A selection of key quotes extracted from the interviews are shown in Figures 2 and 3.





Some of the common themes to come out of the interviews are as follows:

Challenges for patients

Several interviewees felt there was a need for earlier access to diabetes education, with a much greater emphasis on foot and the likelihood of foot problems. The feedback also highlighted the need for greater awareness of familial history of diabetes and the potential implications of this. A further area of importance for patients was the long-term effect upon their wider families, particularly on the mental and physical health of their carers.

Employment and footwear

Many of the patients interviewed had been involved in manual jobs or multiple manual jobs. This often necessitated wearing steel toe capped 'protective' and steel soled boots, which are a significant additional risk to the diabetic foot.

Employment and diabetes care

Patients described having busy working lives (several were self-employed) and additional time and security pressures that led to the importance of health appointments being secondary. Due to the changing nature of employment and greater levels of self-employment, many patients felt that it was more important to keep working than to take unpaid time off and risk losing work contracts for health appointments.

Self-care

Several of the patients interviewed regularly worked away from home on a contractual basis. This was another reason why health appointments were often not met on a regular basis. One patient who is now blind described trying to dress an ulcer and provide self-care whilst working away and abroad. He reflected on this now being unable to work in the job that he enjoyed and missed his work colleagues and the social interaction this gave him.

Advice to employers on footwear and diabetes

One patient felt he was not keen to wear specialist shoes as felt they were 'unfashionable' – following discussions with his podiatrist, he has now agreed to wear them.

A number of the patients interviewed had worked on building sites for 30 years or more, and this required wearing heavy duty protective footwear with steel capped toes. The rubbing of footwear and neuropathy combined lead to further problems.

Many of the patients interviewed were now unable to work in their former jobs due to incapacity created by foot ulceration and amputations. Some were forced to take early retirement from professions in which they had enjoyed working for many years with the consequent loss of social interaction and purpose derived from working life.

Depression and isolation were reported by several of the patients interviewed, which required medication and counselling. In one case self-harm was reported; this was fed into primary care and the necessary help was offered.

Family and/or carers

Wider effects were felt on the family; in one case the patient's wife had also given up her work early to care for her husband due to diabetic foot ulceration. The physical and mental health issues of carers is well documented and also relevant to this group of patients.

Lack of early information about the serious effects of foot disease and importance of foot care

Some patients felt there should be more stress on this from health professionals; some patients felt they had been told about the serious nature of foot problems, however, at that point in their lives they were not sufficiently 'tuned in' to the implications.

Need for more powerful messaging on the implications of diabetes and diabetic foot disease

Diabetic related foot disease is associated with modifiable risks of amputation and premature death. Important messages need to be delivered to employers that provide footwear for manual workers.

Similarly, messaging must encourage patients to take greater responsibility of their care, using language that is easy to understand, with practical tips on how to achieve this.

Conclusion

A number of patients were interviewed across Wessex and views expressed about their experience of the foot care pathway. Key themes were drawn from the interviews and discussed at each review.

Patient experience reflects real lived experience of individuals. It is for CCGs and all parties participating in the reviews to consider and review

Shared learning from patient interviews for foot care peer review



Earlier and more effective messaging for patients with diabetes on foot risk and increased risk of cardiovascular disease.



Powerful messaging on the implications of foot disease and potential long term effects on daily life for the patient and their wider family/carers.



Stronger links with employers on foot risk and foot wear.



Earlier and more sustained treatment of foot disease in at risk patients in the community.